

**"PROJECT CONSTITUTION"**

A Treasonous Plan to overthrow the Government of the  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

by William Taylor Reil

...

At the top of Page 4 of 9

- 16) W. Walter Braham, President, Pennsylvania Bar Association, wrote in the Pennsylvania Constitutional Revision 1966 Handbook signed by him on September 12, 1966, that "In contrast to our unsuccessful efforts to obtain revision by the convention method, the method of revising the constitution article by article has been singularly successful. The Legislature has approved all except four of the essential resolutions and has thus cleared the way for a more orderly and reasonable consideration of the remainder.

There is a great advantage in enabling the electorate to vote on segments of the Constitution - Articles - rather than to be compelled to vote on an entire new Constitution at one time. The article by article method of constitutional revision offers this advantage over revision by a constitutional convention."

**Pennsylvania Constitution fraudulently changed since 1776 A.D.**

by William Taylor Reil

...

On the bottom of page 8 and top of page 9:

The next attempt to expedite the amendment procedure happened in 1935 when the "GOVERNOR'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON CONSTITUTIONAL REVISION" presented its "SUGGESTIONS FOR CONSTITUTIONAL REVISION Report" on September 12, 1935. This brief five page Report "Respectfully submitted" by Charles J. Mariotti, Chairman, William A. Schnader, Vice-Chairman, and Chester H. Rodes, Acting Secretary, states on page "-5-" with respect to "FUTURE AMENDMENTS":

"The present provision forbidding the amendment of the Constitution oftener than once in five years should be modified so as to apply only to the same or substantially the same amendment, and in the alternative it should be provided that an amendment may be adopted by a single General Assembly, provided it pass each house by a two-third vote of the members thereof and be ratified by a vote of 60% of the electorate voting thereon."

This Report's recommendation for "FUTURE AMENDMENTS", primarily completed and driven by William A. Schnader, a propionate Philadelphia Attorney and a

member of the Commissioners of Uniform State Laws at the time, was not proposed or adopted. The call for a Constitutional Convention in 1935 was "killed" by approximately 200,000 votes. However, the attorneys and judges simply continued using the "modified" method "so as to apply only to the same or substantially the same amendment". This method was formalized by the Pennsylvania Bar Association as the "Article-by-Article Method" during "Project Constitution" which was started in 1961 by those in the Pennsylvania Bar Association. (See the separate document titled "Project Constitution" for a detailed explanation of the PBA actions in this regard.)

Clearly, this recommendation, like all the others made and not lawfully proposed and/or ratified, is unconstitutional. However, this method has been used repeatedly since 1874 to strip away our constitutionally secured and guaranteed rights and republican form of government.